

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,730	06/29/2000	Paul C. Wilson	07072-105001	6692
45456 7	590 02/03/2005		EXAMINER	
RICHARD M PO BOX 557	I. SHARKANSKY		PUENTÉ, EMERSON C	
MASHPEE, M	IA 02649		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2113	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/606,730	WILSON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Emerson C Puente	2113				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE O - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set o	F THIS COMMUNICATION. ilable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a replyed above, the maximum statutory period w r extended period for reply will, by statute, e later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON- 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS is cause the application to become ABAND date of this communication, even if timely	the timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
	ommunication(s) filed on <u>08 E</u>	December 2004					
2a) ☐ This action is FIN		is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	and with the practice and or	ex parto Quayro, 1000 C.D. 1	1, 400 0.0. 210.				
4) Claim(s) 4-54 is/a	are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above of	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 8-21, 26	Claim(s) <u>8-21, 26-34, 36-39, 44-49, and 52-54</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7 and</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 40-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-25,35</u>	r)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-25,35,50 and 51</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
· · _	s objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified co	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified co							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Búreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)		1 2					
1) Notice of References Cited (2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pat 3) Information Disclosure State		5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 1-3 was canceled. Claims 4-54 have been examined.

This action is made Non-Final.

Claim Objections

Claim 22-25, 35, 50, and 51 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 32-34 and 23. Claims 22-24 are identical to claim 32-34, respectively. Claim 25 recites identical limitation as base claim 23. Claim 35 is identical to claim 33. Claims 50 and 51 are identical to claim 32 and 34, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7 and 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,214,768 of Martin in view of Ninomiya.

In regards to claims 4, Martin discloses a data storage system for transferring data between a host computer/server and a bank of disk drives through a system interface, such system interface comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/606,730

Art Unit: 2113

a plurality of first directors coupled to the host computer/server (see figure 1 items 14,16,18,19);

- a plurality of second directors coupled to the bank of disk drives (see figure 1 item 48).
- a message network coupled to the plurality of directors and the plurality of second directors(see figure 1 item 42), such first and second directors controlling data transfer between the host computer and the bank of disk drives in response to messages passing between the directors through the messaging network as such data passes through the memory via the data transfer section (see column 8 lines 10-20).

a data transfer section coupled to the plurality of first directors and the second directors (see figure 1 item 42).

wherein there are separate point-to-point data paths (see figure 1).

However, Martin fails to disclose a system interface comprising:

- a cache memory;
- a data transfer section coupled to the cache memory;

wherein there are separate point-to-point data paths between each one of the directors and the global cache memory.

Ninomiya discloses a system interface comprising:

- a cache memory (see figure 20 item 203 and column 1 lines 26-46).
- a data transfer section coupled to the plurality of first directors, second directors, and cache memory (column 1 lines 26-46)

wherein there are separate point-to-point data paths between each one of the directors and the global cache memory (see figure 20 items 207, 208 and column 1 lines 26-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Martin such that the system interface comprises of a cache memory. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Martin because Martin discloses performing read operations in a data storage retrieval system (see column 8 line 10) and having a cache memory, as per teachings of Ninomiya, would reduce read access time, thus providing a faster system.

In regards to claims 5, Martin in view of Ninomiya primary teaching fails to disclose:

Art Unit: 2113

including a backplane and wherein the cache memory and directors are interconnected through the backplane

However, Ninomiya's secondary teaching discloses:

including a backplane and wherein the cache memory and directors are interconnected through the backplane (see figure 8 and column 8 lines 29-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a backplane and wherein the cache memory and directors are interconnected through the backplane. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated because Ninomiya first teaching discloses host adaptors, cache memory, and disk adaptors being connected (see figure 20) and a backplane, as per secondary teachings of Ninomiya, enables connection of the host adaptors, cache memory, and disk adaptors (see column 8 lines 29-41)

In regards to claim 6, Ninomiya discloses a system wherein the backplane is a printed circuit board (see figure 8 and lines 29-30).

In regards to claim 7, Martin discloses a system wherein the messaging network comprises a switch network having a plurality of ports, each one of the ports being coupled to a corresponding one of the plurality of first and second directors. Martin discloses a control subsystem and switch subsystem (see figure 1 items 42), which constitute as the messaging network, indicating a switch network having plurality of ports, each of the ports being coupled to a corresponding one of the plurality of first and second directors.

In regards to claims 40, Martin discloses a system interface comprising:
a plurality of first directors coupled to the host computer/server (see figure 1 item 14, 16, 18, 19);

a plurality of second directors coupled to the bank of disk drives (see figure 1 item 48); a messaging network coupled to the plurality of first directors and the second directors (see figure 1 item 42), such first and second directors control data transfer between the host computer and bank of disk drives in response to messages passing between the directors through the messaging network as such data passes through the memory via the data transfer section (see column 7 lines 63-68 and column 8 lines 1-18)

Application/Control Number: 09/606,730

Art Unit: 2113

wherein the messaging network passes the messages from any of the first plurality of directors to a selected one of said second plurality of directors and from any one of the second plurality of directors to a selected one of the first plurality of directors (see column 8 lines 10-20).

wherein there are separate point-to-point data paths (see figure 1).

However Martin fails to disclose:

such data transfer comprising passing data through the memory via the data transfer section

a data transfer section coupled to the plurality of first directors, the second directors, and the cache memory

and wherein there are separate point-to-point data paths between each one of the directors and the cache memory.

Ninomiya discloses:

such data transfer comprising passing data through the memory via the data transfer section (see figure 20 item 203 and column 1 lines 26-46);

a data transfer section coupled to the plurality of first directors, the second directors, and the cache memory (see figure 20 item 203 and column 1 lines 26-46);

and wherein there are separate point-to-point data paths between each one of the directors and the cache memory (see figure 20 item 207,208 and column 1 lines 26-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Martin such that the system interface comprises of a cache memory. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Martin because Martin discloses performing read operations in a data storage retrieval system (see column 8 line 10) and having a cache memory, as per teachings of Ninomiya, would reduce read access time, thus providing a faster system.

In regards to claim 41, Martin in view of Ninomiya's first teaching fails to disclose; including a backplane and wherein the cache memory and directors are interconnected through the backplane

However, Ninomiya's secondary teaching discloses:

including a backplane and wherein the cache memory and directors are interconnected through the backplane (see figure 8 and column 8 lines 29-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a backplane and wherein the cache memory and directors are interconnected through the backplane. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated because Ninomiya first teaching discloses host adaptors, cache memory, and disk adaptors being connected (see figure 20) and a backplane, as per secondary teachings of Ninomiya, enables connection of the host adaptors, cache memory, and disk adaptors (see column 8 lines 29-41)

In regards to claim 42, Ninomiya discloses a system wherein the backplane is a printed circuit board (see figure 8 and lines 29-30).

In regards to claim 43, Martin discloses a system wherein the messaging network comprises a switch network having a plurality of ports, each one of the ports being coupled to a corresponding one of the plurality of first and second directors. Martin discloses a control subsystem and switch subsystem (see figure 1 items 42), which constitute as the messaging network, indicating a switch network having plurality of ports, each of the ports being coupled to a corresponding one of the plurality of first and second directors.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 6, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant argues to have cancelled claims 22-25 and 50-51 as required by the Examiner, but Examiner does not see such cancellation.

In regards to applicant's argument that "messages do not pass through network 40 of Martin," Martin discloses that DRS 48 (second plurality of directors) is commanded (messages) throughout the read/write operation by the IFS 14,16,18 (second plurality of directors) through SWS 42 (message network and data transfer section), indicating messages passing through the network. For claim 4 and 40, SWS 42 can serve as both the message network and data transfer section. Examiner maintains rejection.

Art Unit: 2113

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 7

The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 8-21, 26-34, 36-39, 44-49, and 52-54 are allowable over the prior art of record because the Examiner found neither prior art cited in its entirety, nor based on the prior art, found any motivation to combine any of the said prior arts.

Claims 8, 26, 32, 36, 44, 45, 52, and 53 are allowable as indicated in the previous office action.

The reason for allowance for claim 9, 11, 13, 27, 29, 31, 47, and 49 is messages passing between directors through the messaging network with such messages by-passing the data transfer section in conjunction with the rest of the limitation set forth in the claim.

The reason for allowance for claim 14 and 18 is a message network, operative independently of the data transfer section, coupled to the plurality of directors and wherein the directors control data transfer to messages passing between the directors through the messaging network with such data passing through the cache memory in the data transfer section in conjunction with the rest of the limitation set forth in the claim.

The remaining claims, not specifically mentioned, are allowed because they are dependent upon one of the claim mentioned above.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance".

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emerson C Puente whose telephone number is (571) 272-3652. The examiner will be moving in October 2004. The examiner number at the new site is (571) 272-3652. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/606,730

Art Unit: 2113

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Emerson Puente 2/2/05

SCOTT BADERMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER